Rapid Analytical Monitoring Strategies in Cidermaking: Chemical and Preventive Controls Against Microbiological Alterations

Dr. Simone Bellassai - Chemist and oenologist, expert of chemical analyses on food and beverage - CDR FoodLab® Division Manager

P2623

1. Introduction: Biochemical Challenges and Technological Vulnerability of Apple Must

The production of high-quality cider requires strict control of the complex biochemical and enzymatic processes that govern the transformation of the raw material. Compared with grape must, apple juice shows a marked susceptibility to oxidative phenomena and often contains a concentration of nitrogenous nutrients that may be limiting for yeast metabolism. These technological challenges are particularly evident in the profile of Hardanger cider. The use of dessert apple cultivars such as *Summerred, Aroma, Discovery, and Gravenstein* aims to obtain a fresh and light final product, but it also imposes strict chemical constraints due to reduced phenolic concentration and, in some cases, high acidity values.

In this context, process optimization requires moving beyond an *ex-post* intervention approach, necessarily limited to the correction of organoleptic alterations that are already evident, toward a predictive and preventive analytical strategy. Systematic analytical monitoring makes it possible to identify metabolic deviations at an early stage, before they develop into irreversible defects. Both in controlled fermentation and under semi-natural conditions, constant analysis of chemical parameters is a fundamental tool for ensuring the physicochemical stability of cider. Today, the transition toward this predictive model is facilitated by the introduction of optimized analytical systems, such as **CDR CiderLab**, which enable multiparametric screening directly on the production line, eliminating the waiting times associated with external laboratory testing.

Parameter	Critical threshold	Risk	Corrective action	Recommended timing
Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN)	100 mg/L	Fermentation slowdowns or stuck fermentation; synthesis of hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), causing reduction defects with rotten egg odor.	Calibrated addition of ammonium salts, such as diammonium phosphate (DAP), or complex organic nutrients.	Pre-fermentation phase, before inoculation.
Acetic Acid (Volatile Acidity)	0.6 g/L	Acetic spoilage; production of ethyl acetate, with solvent or glue-like odors.	Elimination of headspace in tanks through topping-up; targeted replenishment of free SO_2.	Storage or keeving phase; monitoring to detect upward trends.
Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2) and pH	pH > 3.8	Ineffectiveness of SO_2; development of *Brettanomyces spp.* and *Saccharomycodes ludwigii*, with formation of cellular aggregates.	Preliminary acidity correction with malic acid to bring pH below 3.8 before sulfiting.	Before sulfiting and during storage.
L-Malic Acid	< 0.5 g/L at the end of fermentation; fresh/Nordic ciders: 4.0-6.0 g/L	Spontaneous malolactic fermentation (MLF) after bottling, causing turbidity and overpressure; loss of freshness in low-acidity varieties.	Racking; stabilization with sulfur dioxide (SO_2); possible exogenous acidification if pH > 3.8.	During malic acid degradation and before packaging.
Residual Sugars	Style-dependent, from < 2.0 g/L for dry cider to 40.0 g/L for sweet cider	Over-attenuation with loss of body, or secondary fermentation in the bottle with over-carbonation and risk of bottle rupture.	Racking to stop fermentation; chaptalization with sucrose to create an alcoholic barrier of 6–7% vol.	Late fermentation phase and before bottling.

Table 1 — Map of Critical Parameters in Cider Process Control

2. Assimilable Nitrogen (APA): Regulation of Fermentation Kinetics

Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN), is the main limiting factor for fermentation kinetics in apple must. Insufficient availability of this nutritional fraction not only causes slowdowns or stoppages in alcoholic fermentation, but also acts as a biochemical trigger for significant deviations in the aromatic profile. YAN deficiency compromises protein synthesis, including the synthesis of enzymatic complexes within the cellular biomass. Under nutritional stress, yeast metabolism activates the sulfate and sulfite reduction pathway, resulting in the synthesis and release of hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), a volatile compound that imparts unpleasant sulfur notes to cider, typically associated with the smell of rotten eggs and indicative of a reduction defect. Furthermore, excessively weak fermentation kinetics prolong the residence time of the must, exponentially increasing the risk of early oxidation and the development of contaminating microorganisms.

Analytical monitoring requires the quantitative determination of total YAN, expressed as the sum of ammoniacal nitrogen, which is inorganic, and free amino nitrogen, which is organic and also referred to as FAN. This determination must be carried out during the pre-fermentation phase. Using the **rapid enzymatic method** of **CDR CiderLab**, this value can be obtained within a few minutes and without the need for complex sample pretreatment, allowing the technologist to map the actual nutritional availability of the batch in real time. Analyses of cultivars from the Hardanger region show strong variability and often insufficient levels, ranging from a minimum of 0.8 mg/L in the *Discovery* cultivar to a maximum of 101 mg/L in *Summerred*. The technical safety threshold required to ensure basal yeast metabolism and regular fermentation kinetics is estimated at 100 mg/L YAN. If the analytical test reveals a concentration below this limit value, a calibrated addition of ammonium salts, such as diammonium phosphate (DAP), or complex organic nutrients must be carried out before inoculation.

3. L-Malic Acid and L-Lactic Acid: Control of Malolactic Fermentation (MLF)

L-malic acid is the main pillar of fixed acidity in apple must, reaching peaks of up to 22.1 g/L in the Aroma cultivar. Monitoring its degradation and simultaneous conversion into **L-lactic acid** through malolactic fermentation (MLF) is a critical prerequisite for product stability. Spontaneous MLF after bottling can spoil the cider, causing turbidity, unpleasant aromas, and dangerous CO_2 overpressure. Conversely, if allowed to proceed uncontrolled in varieties that already have low acidity, it can diminish the freshness of the product. **Quantitative determination of malic acid** using enzymatic and photometric tests optimized on the CDR CiderLab platform makes it possible to map degradation kinetics with analytical precision and immediate response times. Monitoring this parameter is essential because of the pronounced differences among cultivars: malic acid decreases by 70% in *Gravenstein*, but by only 9% in *Summerred*. Timely analysis guides the producer in key process decisions, such as when to carry out racking and when to stop the process with sulfur dioxide (SO_2). In addition, in musts with pH > 3.8, exogenous acidification with malic acid acts as a preventive safeguard, restoring the organoleptic balance and increasing the fraction of molecular SO_2 active against microbial contamination.

4. Volatile Acidity (Acetic Acid): Preventive Monitoring of Acetic Spoilage

Acetic acid is the main indicator of volatile acidity. It reflects the hygienic condition of the production line and the correct management of oxygen, especially during delicate phases such as storage or *keeving*. If this value increases without control, the cider is exposed to the risk of acetic spoilage. This alteration is often accompanied by the production of ethyl acetate, which impairs the product with unpleasant solvent and glue-like odors. This defect is closely linked to the early metabolic activity of acetic acid bacteria or opportunistic apiculate yeasts, including *Hanseniaspora valbyensis* (syn. *Kloeckera apiculata*), whose proliferation is promoted by the presence of oxygen and by sulfur dioxide (SO_2) concentrations below the minimum inhibitory threshold.

Quantitative analytical monitoring acts as an early warning system, since it allows kinetic deviations to be detected before the defect becomes perceptible to smell. Using the CDR CiderLab system, **acetic acid** can be quantified in **10 minutes** through an enzymatic reaction performed on micro-quantities of sample. Although the technical acceptability threshold is set at a maximum of 0.6 g/L, ciders with a high quality standard show stable values close to the instrumental limit of quantification. The preventive approach is based on the identification of any upward trend. Analytical evidence of an increase requires the immediate adoption of countermeasures, such as eliminating headspace in tanks through topping-up and targeted replenishment of free SO_2.

5. Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2): The Chemistry of Selective Protection

Sulfur dioxide (SO_2) is the main agent for microbiological selection and antioxidant protection in the cidermaking process. The effectiveness of this additive is closely conditioned by the pH of the medium, which governs its chemical equilibrium in solution. In weakly acidic environments (pH > 3.8), typical of musts obtained from *bittersweet* apple cultivars, where values up to pH 4.2 may be recorded, the active sulfur dioxide fraction decreases dramatically. This condition exposes the product to serious alterations during storage caused by contaminating and resistant yeasts, such as *Brettanomyces spp*. and *Saccharomycodes ludwigii*. The latter is known for its ability to generate dense cellular aggregates at the bottom of bottles, compromising the visual stability of cider.
The control protocol must focus on coupled analytical monitoring of **free** and **total SO_2** and **pH value**. As pH increases, the equilibrium of sulfur dioxide shifts toward the bisulfite and sulfite forms, reducing the fraction of molecular SO_2, the only form capable of permeating the cell membrane of microorganisms and exerting a biocidal effect. At pH 4.2, a standard dosage of 150 mg/L is biologically ineffective. The preventive approach therefore requires preliminary acidity correction through the exogenous addition of malic acid, in order to bring the must back to values below pH 3.8 before sulfiting.

6. Residual Sugars and Density: Managing Stability in the Bottle

The determination of relative density, or *specific gravity* (SG), and the analytical monitoring of the glucose/fructose ratio are fundamental parameters for estimating the final alcohol by volume and for controlling the partial pressure of endogenous CO_2 in the packaged product. Strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* preferentially metabolize glucose, leaving fructose as the main component of the late carbohydrate fraction. An uncontrolled fermentation course leading to complete sugar depletion, or over-attenuation, deprives cider of body and palate roundness. Conversely, the persistence of biologically unstable residual sugars exposes the batch to the risk of late secondary fermentation kinetics after bottling. This phenomenon causes defects due to uncontrolled over-carbonation and increases internal pressure. From an analytical perspective, assessment of relative density requires integration with the quantitative mapping of the residual sugar profile. The **rapid determination of individual carbohydrate fractions, namely glucose, fructose, and sucrose**, performed with CDR CiderLab, makes it possible to validate the densimetric data and precisely guide the *racking* operations required to stop fermentation. This is where chaptalization comes into play: adding sucrose to bring the alcohol content to 6–7% vol serves to create a true microbiological barrier. The additional alcohol, combined with acidic pH and SO_2, protects the sugars remaining in the bottle from undesired fermentation, thereby ensuring product stability.

7. Conclusions: From Craft Intuition to Analytical Precision

In modern *cidermaking*, analyses carried out directly on the production line are neither a cost nor a complication, but a strategic and indispensable choice to protect the product and enhance the value of every batch. The ability to transform craft intuition into quantifiable scientific precision is the only way to prevent partial or total loss of production batches, while ensuring the quality consistency and sensory recognizability required by the global market.
Within this framework of methodological evolution, the **CDR CiderLab** system is positioned as an **analytical solution optimized for process control**. Thanks to photometric tests in pre-filled cuvettes, the system overcomes the operational constraints of the traditional chemical laboratory: it eliminates the need for complex calibrations, drastically reduces reagent handling, and requires only micro-volumes of sample, avoiding preventive and labor-intensive filtration or centrifugation steps. Its marked speed of execution enables immediate corrective actions directly within the production environment.

Webography and International Institutional Resources

These links represent the main research platforms, university extension services, and technical institutes dedicated to the science of cidermaking:

- **ScienceDirect Topics** – *Cider (Agricultural and Biological Sciences)* Encyclopedic and scientific resource for consulting book chapters and review papers on cider biochemistry.

Link: https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cider

- **Cornell AgriTech (Cornell University)** – *Hard Cider Products & Research* Academic center of excellence for the study of cultivars, fermentation, and technological development of the cider supply chain.

Link: https://cals.cornell.edu/cornell-agritech/products-we-research/hard-cider

- **Agriculture Institute** – *Industrial Cider Production Techniques & Technology* Technical portal focused on food chemistry, microbial physiology, and modern cellar practices on an industrial scale.

Link: https://agriculture.institute/food-chemistry-and-physiology/industrial-cider-production-techniques-technology/

- **The Wittenham Hill Cider Pages (Andrew Lea)** – *Cider and Perry Making* One of the historical and technical scientific reference resources for traditional and modern cidermaking protocols.

Link: https://www.cider.org.uk/frameset.htm

- **Washington State University (WSU)** – *Cider Research & Extension* University program specializing in the analysis of fruit matrices, fermentation trials, and microbiological stability of cider.

Link: https://cider.wsu.edu/

- **Cider Institute of North America (CINA)** Reference organization for professional training, standardization of physicochemical parameters, and certifications in cidermaking.

Link: https://www.ciderinstitute.com/